BENCH DECISION AND AWARD  ☐ Expedited ☑ NTA	
ARBITRATOR: DR. PINCUS	HEARING DATE: SEMEMBER 12, 2003
GRIEVANT: FLANK SCHUMACHER	21-20-20023110-5691-01-06 GRIEVANCE #:27-20-20021101-5688-01-03 21-20-20021106-5689-01-03
DEPARTMENT: DA & C	UNION: OCSEA
MANAGEMENT RATE STILLS	ADVOCATE: PATTY HOWELL
	UE : 1 4 - U Maria - 1 4 <b>en 182</b> (18 <b>0</b> )
WHICH CANDIDATE IS THE MO	ST QUALIFIED (MILLIFON,
BENNER, RANSOM, SCHUMACHER	e)?
AW)	$\Delta R^{\mathrm{g}}$
AN APPUCANTS WERE CONSIDERED M.	INIMALLY QUALIFIED. DANIEL
BENNER AND FRANK SCHUMACHER	ALK SUBSTAN TIALLY
EQUAL, THEREFORE SENIOLITY IS	THE DETERMINING FACTOR
IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 17	05 OF THE COLLECTIVE
BARGAINING AGEREMENT. FRANK	SCHUMACHER SHALLED
BE RACKED INTO THE POSITION	(PCN # 1044.0). DURK
MILLIFON SHAW RETURN TO HIS	PRENIOUSLY HALD POSITION:
ISSUED AT: 9 12/05	ARBITRATOR'S SIGNATURE:

# GRIEVANCE SE'ITLEMENT AGREEMENT (OCSEA)

(OCSEA)	
This Agreement made MANCH 6, 2013; by and	d between the
DEPARTMENT OF FEHABILITATION & COLLEC	TION (MEC)
the Ohio Civil Service Employees Association, Local 11, AFSCME (Of	CSEA), and
FRANK SCHUMACHER; ERIC FANSOM; DANIEL BENNER (Employe	ee), parties hereto
Whereas, there is now pending, a grievance filed by the above no OCSEA against (DR:C.) pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Approximate to the Collective Barga	amed employee and greement, identified as
WHEREAS, ( ) denies any liability in correction with	the alleged claim;
WHEREAS, all parties hereto wish to reach a full and final settler causes of action arising out of the claim set forth above;	
Now therefore, all parties hereto, in consideration of their mutual agreements to be performed, as hereinafter set forth, agree as follows:	convenants and
PARTIES ACIRCE THE CASES LISTERS ARE	VE SHALL
BE FORWARDED TO NON-TRADITIONAL	1 ARBITEA
TION.	
THE NEUTRAL SHALL DETERMINE THE	e Most
QUALIFIED CANDIDATE BETWEEN THE	CALEVANTS
LISTED AND THE SELECTED CANDIA	DATE.
THERE SHALL BE NO BACKPAY AWAR. THE EVENT ONE OF THE GRIEVAN	DED W 175 15
SELECTED. NO CIRILVANCES SHALL BE FORWARDE ARBITRATION RESULTING FROM THE ARBITI	ED TO LATOR'S SELECTION

# GRIEVANCE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (OCSEA)

(OCSEA)
This Agreement made MAKCH 6, 2003; by and between the
DEPARTMENT OF PEHABILITATION & COLLECTION (DRS.C);
the Ohio Civil Service Employees Association, Local 11, AFSCME (OCSEA), and
FLANK SCHUMACHER; EAIC RANSOM; DANIEL BENNER (Employee), parties hereto.
Whereas, there is now pending, a grievance filed by the above named employee and OCSEA against (DC 2C ) pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement, identified as
based on the following allegations:
Violation of Section/s //
WHEREAS, ( ) Le C ) denies any liability in correction with the alleged claim;
WHEREAS, all parties hereto wish to reach a full and final settlement of all matters and causes of action arising out of the claim set forth above;
Now therefore, all parties hereto, in consideration of their mutual convenants and agreements to be performed, as hereinafter set forth, agree as follows:
PARTIES ACIECE THE CASES LISTERS ABOVE SHALL
BE FORWARDED TO NON-TRADITIONAL ARBITRA
TION.
THE NEUTRAL SHALL DETERMINE THE MOST
QUALIFIED CANDIDATE BETWEEN THE CIRIEVANTS
LISTED AND THE SELECTED CANDIDATE.
THERE SHALL BE NO BACKPAY AWARDED IN
THE EVENT ONE OF THE GREENANTS IS
SELECTED.
NO GRICUANCES SHALL BE FORWARDED TO
ARBITRATION RESULTING FROM THE ARBITRATOR'S SELECTION

#### FRANK F. SCHUMACHER

3334 Hummel Rd. Shelby, Oh 44875 (419) 347-6207

January 28, 2003

Ms. Teri Decker **Bureau of Labor Relations** Ohio DR & C 1050 Freeway Drive North Columbus, Oh 43229

Subject: Step Three Response, Schumacher/ManCi 27-20-021101-5688-01-03

Dear Ms. Decker,

Regarding the denial of the Step Three response of grievance number 27-20-021101-5688-01-03, I must protest in the strongest of terms based on the following excerpt from the current Contract on page 31, Section 17.05 "... If the position is in a classification which is assigned to pay range twenty-seven (27) or lower, the job shall be awarded to the qualified employee with the most State seniority unless the Agency can show that a junior employee is demonstrably superior to the senior employee. If the position is in a classification which is assigned to pay range twenty-eight (28) or higher, the job shall be awarded to an eligible bargaining unit employee on the basis of qualifications, experience, and education. When these factors are substantially equal, State seniority shall be the determining factor".

As my personal review of the Merit Selection file documents which apply to this grievance prove without a doubt that the successful applicant was not more "substantially equal" than the grievant as defined by the contract language, Mr. Burris and by ManCi management. The documents in the Merit Selection file bore out the following facts that I request that you compare yourself.

D. Milliron (Successful Applicant)
------------------------------------

Date of Hire: 2-21-91

F. Schumacher (Grievant)

D.O.B. 8-26-56

D.O.B. 7-26-47

Education: High School

Education: Associates Degree Mechanical

Engineering.

**B.S.B.A.** Business Management

Date of Hire: 5-28-85

Application/Resume: No Safety/Health

Experience noted.

Application/Resume: 5 years Assistant

Safety Manager, Ohio Steel Tube

Interview Summary: Safety experience

Interview Summary: All questions safety

Limited to seven years Safety Steward ManCi

related answered in

in depth.

The above differences are borne out by the documents in the Merit Selection file, unless, due to the

passage of time, the documents were altered showing an entirely different set of facts thereby supporting ManCi's management and Mr. Burris' decision.

While I do not question Mr. Burris' qualifications as a Step 3 Hearing Officer, I do however, question his investigation of the case and his subsequent judgment based on the documentation of the facts on file. How this grievance can be denied based on the fact that the position in question is in pay range 32, and contract language clearly states the criteria necessary to be met for positions in pay range 28 and higher, a decision which clearly does not support the defined parameters.

At this time, the grievance is being submitted for consideration for arbitration, the outcome of which will determine whether my attorney will have the opportunity to question your office and that of the management of ManCi in regards to your/their interpetation of the language and intent of the contract.

Therefore, in closing, I request that you reconsider the denial of the step 3 response and to reinvestigate this matter before it continues further.

A response from your office on this matter in a timely manner would be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Frank F. Schumacher

frak Jehumachen

Cc: OCB, Union office file



RONALD C. ALEXANDER PRESIDENT
DAVID L. SLONE VICE PRESIDENT
VANESSA TOLLIVER SECRETARY-TREASURER
IRWIN M. SCHARFELD EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

January 27, 2003

Mr. Steve Loeffler, Deputy Director Office of Collective Bargaining 100 East Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: Frank F Schumacher

27-20-(02-11-01)-5688-01-03 R&C Mansfield Correctional Ins

Dear Mr. Loeffler::

This letter is to inform you that OCSEA/AFSCME Local 11 requests that the above-referenced grievance be taken to arbitration pursuant to Section 25.02 of the contract with the State of Ohio.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

## Herman S. Whitter/pa

Herman S. Whitter, Attorney Director, Dispute Resolution

Cc: Patricia Howell



HAM SSALO TSHIFT

STEVE LOEFFLER, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OFFICE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING 100 E. BROAD ST, 18TH FLOOR COLUMBUS, OH 43215

MA SKY LONGER



	ND PREPARATION SHEET  OCSEA AFSCME, LOCAL 11 AFL-CIO
Step 4 Grievance Designee Office of Collective Bargaining Re: 106 North High Street - 6th Floor	Grievant Name: Frank Schumacher.
Columbus, Ohio 43215	Grievance #:
AND	Chapter Name/Number: Social 11 Chap 7010
Your Assigned Staff Representative	Agency/Work Location: <u>かみゃと</u>
	☐ Removal ☐ Fines (# of days) ☐ Suspension (# of days)
	Issue (Contract Interpretation) 2.03 17.0 17.05 Z.0
meenig.	2/10 / 02 but was not received. The Union requests a Step 4
Date the Appeal was mailed to Step 4 _	
This letter is to inform you that we are appeal pursuant to Article 25.02 of the labor agreed CIO.	lling the above captioned grievance to Step 4 of the grievance procedure ment between the State of Ohio and OCSEA AFSCME, Local 11, AFL-
Please send correspondence to:	ansfield Correctional
- fo	Box 0188 mas field Ohio 44901-0188
<u>C</u>	utth Socal 7/010 OCSEA.
TEAR HERI	RE AND MAIL TO OFFICE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING.
	And the same of th

TIO"



## GRIEVANCE FORM



STATE OF OHIO - OCSEA, LOCAL 11 AFSCME, AFL-CIO

Date: 11-1-02 Grievance #: 27 5	<u> </u>
Grievant(s) Name: Frank F. Schumacher	S∞ Sec#: <u>296-44-8</u> 99 <u>6</u>
Home Address: 3334 Hummel Rd.	Work phone Rut419-526-2000
city, State, Zip: Shelby, Oh 44875	Home phone:( 419-347-6207
Job Classification: C/O	Work location: MANCI
Agency: DR&C - MANCI	P.O. Box 788, Mansfield, Oh
Steward name: M. Miller	Work phone: (419-526-2000
Home address: Third Shift MANCI	M. Miller 419-756-1391
City, state, zip: P.O. Box 788 Mansfield	
Immediate supervisor: Capt. E. Hale, 3rd. Shift	Work phone: ( )
Intriediate Sopervisor.	
Suspension / Discharge Grievances:  The Union submits grievances involving suspension /fine/discharge at Step 3 within 14 calendar days of the date of notification. Send the original, completed form to the Agency Head or Designee.  All Other Grievances:  Contract interpretation grievances and written or oral reprimand grievances shall be filed at Step 1 of the grievance procedure.	
	4.3 4
Contract article(s) allegedly violated:	Witnesses:
(All Pertinent Articles) 2,2.02,17,17.05	
Statement of facts (who, what, where, when?):	
am grieved since I was not awarded the recen	t posted vacancy of
"Safety Coordinator" class 24441 at MANCI, I was interviewed on 10-30-02.	
Per article 17, 17.05, on the basis of my qualifications, experience and education I believe I should have been awarded this position.	
and education I believe I should have be	
I have 2 college degrees and 5 years safe	en awarded this position.  ty management ex-
I have 2 college degrees and 5 years safe perience at Ohio Steel Tube, Shelby,Oh. the awarding of any position higher than a be awarded to an eligible bargaining unit e	en awarded this position.  ty management ex- Further, per 17.05, pay range 28 shall employee on the basis of
Have 2 college degrees and 5 years safe perience at Ohio Steel Tube, Shelby,Oh. the awarding of any position higher than a	en awarded this position.  ty management ex- Further, per 17.05, pay range 28 shall employee on the basis of
I have 2 college degrees and 5 years safe perience at Ohio Steel Tube, Shelby,Oh. the awarding of any position higher than a be awarded to an eligible bargaining unit e	en awarded this position.  ty management ex- Further, per 17.05, pay range 28 shall employee on the basis of ation. Per the current is listed as pay range 32.



### **GRIEVANCE FORM**



STATE OF OHIO - OCSEA, LOCAL 11 AFSCME, AFL-CIO

	_	
Date:	11-1-02 Grievance #: 27 0	<u> 20(02-11-1) (56,88) 01-03</u>
Grievant(s) Name:	Frank F. Schumacher	Soc Sec#: <u>296-44-8996</u>
Home Address:	3334 Hummel Rd.	Work phone: Rut419)-526-2000
City, State, Zip:	Shelby, Oh 44875	Home phone:(419-347-6207
Job Classification:	C/O	Work location: MANCI
Agency:	DR&C - MANCI	P.O. Box 788, Mansfield, Oh
Steward name:	Л. Miller	Work phone: (419-526-2000
Home address:	hird Shift MANCI	M. Miller 419-756-1391
City, state, zip:	P.O. Box 788 Mansfield	
Immediate superviso	or: Capt. E. Hale, 3rd. Shift	Work phone: ( ) 5
		3
The Union submits grievances involving suspension /fine/discharge at Step 3 within 14 calendar days of the date of notification. Send the original, completed form to the Agency Head or Designee.  All Other Grievances:  Contract interpretation grievances and written or oral reprimand grievances shall be filed at Step 1 of the grievance procedure.		
Contract article(s)	· ·	Witnesses:
(All Perf 2,2.02,1	tinent Articles) 7,17.05	
Statement of facts (	who, what, where, when?):	
am grieved	since I was not awarded the recen	t posted vacancy of
"Safety Coord	dinator" class 24441 at MANCI, I w	as interviewed on 10-30-02.
Per article 17, 17.05, on the basis of my qualifications, experience and education I believe I should have been awarded this position.  I have 2 college degrees and 5 years safety management ex-		
perience at Ohio Steel Tube, Shelby,Oh. Further, per 17.05,		
the awarding of any position higher than a pay range 28 shall		
be awarded to an eligible bargaining unit employee on the basis of		
qualifications, experience and education. Per the current contract, Safety Coordinator Class, 24441 is listed as pay range 32.		
1) That I be awarded above vacancy, with all necessary back pay and made whole.		
Signature: Kon b	Helicine ac legs (Grievant/Union Representatives)	Date: //-/-02
Janes Janes	(Grievant/Union Representatives)	

Sent to Step 3

MANSFIELD CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION CHAPTER 7010, OCSEA/AFSCME, LOCAL 11, AFLCIO P.O. BOX 788 MANSFIELD, OHIO 44901-0788

STEP THREE HEARING OFFICER OHIO DEPT. OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTIONS 1050 FREEWAY DRIVE COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215

DATE: 11/4/02

SIR,

ENCLOSED YOU WILL FIND GRIEVANCES FOR YOUR REVIEW AND TO SET UP A MEETING FOR A STEP THREE HEARING. PLEASE CONTACT OUR OFFICE IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR NEED MORE INFORMATION.

PLEASE FAX LIST OF STEP THREE GRIEVANCES NUMBERS TO BE HEARD AT LEAST SEVEN (7) DAYS BEFORE HEARING DATE.

(Budehaw	GRIEVANCE #: 27-20-02-(1-1-5688-01-03
R. BRADSHAW	
Roger Ingram	



### **Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction**

1050 Freeway Drive North Columbus, Ohio 43229

Bob Taft, Governor

www.drc.state.oh.us

Reginald A. Wilkinson, Director

#### STEP THREE RESPONSE

Schumacher/ MANCI 27-20-021101-5688-01-03 January 2, 2003

A grievance was filed by the above-named in accordance with Article 25 of the collective bargaining agreement between the State of Ohio and OCSEA Local 11.

Therein it is alleged that management has violated the following Articles (s) and Section (s): 2.02, 17.05.

A Step 3 Hearing was held at the facility on 12/10/02.

To the question of procedural objection, the parties had none.

FACTS: N/A

UNION CONTENTION: the grievant, through the union, argues that the contract was violated when he was not awarded the position of Safety Coordinator. Because the position is in pay range 32 his qualifications, education, and experience should have been the determining factors. The grievant asserts that he is more than substantially equal than the successful applicant.

REMEDY: "That I be awarded above vacancy, with all necessary back pay and made whole."

DISCUSSION AND DECISION: the institution has determined that the successful applicant was more than substantially equal than the grievant. A review of the documents used to make that determination supports management's selection. The grievance is denied at step three of the grievance procedure.

Teri Decker

Bureau of Labor Relations

TLD: DJB

Step 3 Hearing Officer

C: OCB, Labor Relations Officer, and Union, file