ARBITRATION SUMMARY AND AWARD LOG OCB AWARD NUMBER: 1322 Expedited

OCB GRIEVANCE NUMBER:

1) 29-01-971112-0050-01-09-S

2) 29-01-980413-0057-01-09-S

GRIEVANT NAME:

1) Toby Damron

2) Toby Damron

UNION:

OCSEA

DEPARTMENT:

Ohio Rehabilitation Services Commission

ARBITRATOR:

Dr. Everette Freeman

MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE:

1) Darla J. Burns

2) Darla J. Burns

2ND CHAIR:

UNION ADVOCATE:

Robert Steele

ARBITRATION DATE:

October 21, 1998

DECISION DATE:

October 21, 1998

DECISION:

1) GRANTED

2) MODIFIED

CONTRACT SECTIONS:

1) 24.02, 24.03

2) 24.01, 24.02, 24.03, 24.05

HOLDING: 1. Grievance was GRANTED. Grievant was charged with failing to meet production standards. The Employer argued that the Grievant had sufficient notice of his performance expectations in the form of performance evaluations and other discussions with management. The Employer noted that the Grievant's production was consistently below that of his co-workers, even though he was the most senior employee on the team. The Union argued that the Employer violated Article 44.03 by not notifying the Union prior to implementation of any new work rule. It also argued that other employees were not subject to "performance expectations" and, therefore, disparate treatment existed in this case. The Arbitrator held that the Employer did not adhere to Article 44.03 and therefore, it could not discipline the Grievant for violation of a rule that had not been discussed with the Union prior to its implementation.

2. Grievance was MODIFIED. The second grievance was basically about the same issue as the first with the addition of a sleeping while on duty charge. The Grievant admitted to sleeping while on duty on one occasion. Because the first grievance resolved the performance expectation issue, and because of the Grievant's admission of sleeping, the Arbitrator modified the 10-day suspension to a 3-day suspension.

COST:

\$

| DENOU DECU | CION AND AWARD |
|--|---|
| BENCH DECK | SION AND AWARD |
| ARBITRATOR: Dr. Everette freeman | HEARING DATE: 10- 21-98 |
| GRIEVANT: TOBY DAMFOR | GRIEVANCE #: 29 - 01 - (04-13-98)0057 - 0 |
| DEPARTMENT: CRSC | UNION: OCSEA |
| MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE: Ms. Darla J. Burns | UNION ADVOCATENT RObert Steele |
| | SSUE |
| DID JUST CAUSE CEIST | to suspend Mr. DAMron? If |
| not what is the proper | |
| | |
| | |
| A | WARD |
| While disposition of the 3 | DAY suspension in favor of the |
| | 0 |
| State has a reasonable base | for disciplining an employee |
| for sleeping on the jet. The S | <u> </u> |
| | concluded at least 1 instance |
| | |
| of Steeping on the Jet for which | |
| discipline is warrented. all | condingly, the 10 day discipline |
| discipline is warrented. all | condingly, the 10 day discipline |
| discipline is warrented. all imposed is MR. Robert Rabe's sudneed to 3 DAYS. | condingly, the 10 day discipline |

| BENCH DECISION AND AWARD | |
|--|--|
| ARBITRATOR: Dr. Everette Freeman | HEARING DATE: 10-21-98 |
| GRIEVANT: TOBY DAMFOR | GRIEVANCE #: 27-01-(11-12-97)0050-01-09 |
| DEPARTMENT: ORSC | UNION: OCSEA |
| MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE: Mr. DARLAJ. BULLUS | UNION ADVOCATE: Mr. Robert Steele |
| | SUE |
| Did just course exist to su | spend Mr. DAMRON? If not, |
| What is the proper remedy | <u> </u> |
| | |
| AV | VARD |
| | |
| While the state strong i | to ORSC management, |
| While the state strong paper. | trail in documenting the |
| | |
| Enzuat's performance plans, | weakly meetings a most on hally |
| performance evaluations, et | ded not adher to ARticle |
| performance evaluations, et 44.03 g the CBA in provis | ded not adher to Article |
| performance evaluations, et 44.03 of the CBA in provide of processing quotes. Mo | ded not adher to ARticle Ling the union prior notification seconer, the State can't not |
| performance evaluations, et 44.03 of the CBA in provis of processing quotes Mo clearly or convincingly demone | weekly meetings a most contrally did not adher to ARticle ding the union prior notification seconer, the State could not strated a rational consistant |
| performance evaluations, it 44.03 g the CBA in provide of processing quotes Mo clearly or convincingly demons tasis for its 500 case stond | weekly meetings a most contrally ded not adher to Archile ding the union prior notification executer, the State could not strated a national consistant and that either the general |
| performance evaluations, it 44.03 g the CBA in provide of processing quotes Mo clearly or convincingly demons tasis for its 500 case stond | weekly meetings a most contrally did not adher to ARticle ding the union prior notification seconer, the State could not strated a rational consistant |