# ARBITRATION SUMMARY AND AWARD LOG OCB AWARD NUMBER: 1310 Expedited

**OCB GRIEVANT NUMBER:** 1) 27-22-971029-0399-01-03

2) 27-22-971022-0398-01-03

3) 27-22-980123-0412-01-06

4) 27-22-971216-0404-01-06

5) 27-08-970618-0363-01-03-S

6) 27-08-980302-0395-01-03-S

7) 27-22-970414-0436-01-03

8) 27-22-980414-0439-01-03

9) 27-22-980501-0443-01-03

**GRIEVANT NAME:** 

1) Paula Moore

2) Cynthia Hannigan

3) Randy Williams

4) Douglas McKay

5) Arnold Davis

6) Ralph Bond

7) Rickie Brewer

8) Charles Penix

9) Bob Smith

**UNION:** 

**OCSESA** 

**DEPARTMENT:** 

Rehab & Corrections

ARBITRATOR:

Rob Stein

MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE:

1 - 9) George Engle

2ND CHAIR:

Heather Reese

**UNION ADVOCATE:** 

1-9) John Horton

**ARBITRATION DATE:** 

August 24, 1998

**DECISION DATE:** 

August 24, 1998

**DECISION:** 

1) Denied

2) Denied

3) Modified

4) Modified

5) Sustained

6) Modified

7) Denied

8) Modified

b) iviouilieu

9) Modified

#### **AWARD #1310 Continued:**

#### **CONTRACT SECTIONS**

- 1) 5 Day Suspension
- 2) 2 Day Suspension
- 3) 15 Day Suspension
- 4) 1 Day Suspension
- 5) 3 Day Suspension
- 6) 3 Day Suspension
- 7) 1 Day Suspension
- 8) 15 Day Suspension
- 9) 15 Day Suspension

1) Arbitrator Stein agreed that the punishment imposed was sufficient for the **HOLDING:** Grievant's infraction of giving a favor to an inmate. 2) The Arbitrator ruled that the remarks made by the Grievant to a fellow officer in front of inmates were inappropriate and did warrant the suspension. 3) The suspension was reduced to a written warning. He was found to have violated only rule #8 (Failure to carry out a work assignment or exercise of poor judgment. 4) The one day suspension was reduced to a written warning for failure to follow post orders. The grievant left an inmate working outside unsupervised. 5) The Employer failed to provide clear ad convincing evidence that the Grievant violated rules 44 & 8. The Grievant is to be made whole for all 105 wages (plus roll call wages) and the suspension is to be removed from his record. 6) The suspension is reduced to a written warning. The Grievant will receive twelve (12) hours of back pay at the appropriate rate. The written warning is for violation of rule 6 only. There were mitigating circumstances in this case (e.g., the warden requesting the Grievant's involvement in the IPRC Health & Safety Committee, the approval of his leave by the warden) may have lead the Grievant to believe he would deal with authority above the shift captain. However, the Arbitrator also found that the Grievant errored in not respecting the operational chain of command. 7) The Grievant had an obligation to report what he knew and not just what he directly observed regarding the incident involving Inmate Price. The Grievant readily admitted to hearing inmates yelling, but did not initially report hearing comments by Sergeant Hinton. He was obligated to report such information and Arbitrator Stein found it was material to this matter. However, the evidence and testimony revealed that the Grievant is a long term employee with a disciplinary free record. This long service should matter in the length of time the discipline stays on his record. If the Grievant remains discipline free this suspension shall be removed from his personnel record after one year from the date of issuance. 8) The grievance is denied in part and sustained in part. The 15 day suspension is reduced to a 10 day suspension. Arbitrator Stein found that the Grievant violated Rule 24 in this matter, however, given a similar incident cited in the Third Step Response, the level of discipline levied in this situation appears to be out of line with a first occurrence of a Rule 24 violation. 9) The grievance is denied in part and sustained in part. The 15 days suspension is reduced to a 10 day suspension for a Rule 24 violation. Arbitrator Stein found that the Employer proved with a preponderance of the evidence that the Grievant violated Rule 24 by withholding the fact that Officer Holt was in Cell 12 and he did not fully disclose all the events that occurred in Cell 12. Officer Smith's role in this matter was ruled to be a proper use of force.

COST:

BENCH DE	CISION AND AWARD
ARBITRATOR: ROBERT STEIN	HEARING DATE: 8124198
GRIEVANT: Ralph Bond	GRIEVANCE #: 27-08-9803060894 0103
DEPARTMENT: DR & C	UNION: OCSEA
MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE: Geveld Clay	UNION ADVOCATE: JOHN HOVEM

## ISSUE

3 day suspension, Rule violations 6,3H

### AWARD

Reduce Suspension to Written Warning. Grevent to receive twelve (12) from of bode pay at the appropriate rate. The written warning is for violation of Rule 6 only. I find that the mitigating circumstance in the case (eg the warden requesting the brevents involvement in the TPRC Neath & Safely Committee, the approval of his leave by the warden) may have lead the Grevent to believe he find the Grevent cuttoning above to Shift Captern However, I also that the Grevent errored in not respecting the operationic channel Signature:

| Pickowed | Arbitrator's Signature: | DITC | Command.

BENCH D	ECISION AND AWARD
ARBITRATOR: HOBERT STEI	N HEARING DATE: 8/24/98
GRIEVANT: DOUBLAS MCKAY	0124146
DEPARTMENT: DR &	2 121004040100
MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE: George Engle	UNION
	ISSUE
1 day suspension	i Rule 7 violation, Rule
	그는 사람들은 그는 그는 그는 사람들은 그리고 한테 사람들은 하지만 하고 있다. 그 같아는 나는 사람들은 문학을 받는다.
Crievance deniedi	n part and sustained in
part. The tenu	e and clean record of the
Grievant should	se consciered in this matter
Therefre the 1	don't delea (4 this matter
to a widen	day suspension is reduced
Jein C Juli Hen W	arning. However, to
reintoire the se	riousness of this matter
No back pay is	awarded.
SUED AT: TICK a way	ARBITRATOR'S SIGNATURE:

BENCH DECISION AND AWARD		
ARBITRATOR: ROBERT STEIN	HEARING DATE: 8124198	
GRIEVANT: RANDY WILLIAMS	GRIEVANCE #: 27-22-98-012304120106	
DEPARTMENT: DREC	UNION: OCSEA	
MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE: Colorbe Ende	UNION ADVOCATE: JOHN HORTON	
ISSUE		
15 day suspension Rule 8,16		
AV	/ARD	
The 15 day suspension is reduced to a written warning. although the Brievent used poor judgement in this matter I find that he was in violation of Rule 8 only. There		
15 insufficient evidence to sustain a Rile 16		
Mr. Williams secured the private work with Mr. Deagle		
be cause of his reputation as a contractor in the growth sector, not because he is a carpenter with DREC. The		
grevant 4 to receive 15	days back pay at the appropriate vote	
ISSUED AT:	ARBITRATOR'S	

BENCH DECIS	ION AND AWARD	
ARBITRATOR: POBERT STEIN	HEARING DATE: 8 24 98	
GRIEVANT: CYNTHIA HANNIDAN	GRIEVANCE #: 272293980103	
DEPARTMENT: DR &C	UNION: OCSEA-	
MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE: Geovice Enile	UNION ADVOCATE: John Horton	
ISSUE		
2 day suspension	Rules 7, 8, 12	
AW	/ARD	
Grievance denied.	I find that the	
	- VIVIOL IVIAN	
vemails) made to	Office Krumeich	
	Office Krumeich	
went beyond "s	Office krumeich shop talk" and represented	
went beyond "s Verbae abuse. I	Shop talk" and represented	
went beyond "s Verbre abuse. I Grievant's conduct	Office krumeich  Shop talk" and represented  clso find that the  in not immediately accepting	
went beyond "s verbre abuse. I Grievant's conduct fer asignment rep	Office krumeich  Shop talk" and represented  cloo find that the  in not immediately occupting  oriented a violation of	
went beyond "S  Uevbae abuse. I  Grievant's conduct  Lev asignment rep  Rule 8. However,  to support a Rule  Calationed to exercise	Office krumeich  Shop talk" and represented  clso find that the  in not immediately accepting	

BENCH DEC	ISION AND AWARD
ARBITRATOR: ROBERT STEIN	HEARING DATE: 8/24/98
GRIEVANT: Paula Moore	
DEPARTMENT: DR &	UNION: 005 EA
MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE: Geovie Engle	UNION
	ADVOCATE: John Horton
	SSUE
5 day suspension	Rule 45A,B
<u> </u>	
Al Al	
Grievance denied	. The nature and
circumstances of the	he vule violations
	re of behavior that
	and meaningfue response
by the Employer.	It is hoped that
the Grievand fras	lecined a lesson in this
matter.	MANIA IN THIS
SSUED AT:  TICKE Way	ARBITRATOR'S SIGNATURE:

#13/0

BENCH DEC	SION AND AWARD
ARBITRATOR: ROB STEIN	HEARING DATE: 8 24 98
GRIEVANT: Rickie Brewer	GRIEVANCE #: 27-22-980414043601-
DEPARTMENT: DR & C	UNION: OCSEA-
MANAGEMENT GEOVE ENGLE.	UNION ADVOCATE: John Horton
<b>U</b>	SSUE
1 day surromsim	
,	
A	WARD
Grievance denied. The act of witnessing in obligation to report what he knew and not just incident involving Inmate Price. The Grievan but did not initially report hearing comments such information and I find it was material to testimony reveal that the Grievant is a long to This long service should matter in the length	volves all the senses. The Grievant had an st what he directly observed regarding the nt readily admitted to hearing inmates yelling, by Sergeant Hinton. He was obligated to report

FROM: CHAPHARTMANSTEIN/RGSTEIN

PHONE NO. : 330 864 6050

Aug. 26 1998 04:40PM P3

#1310

Bench de	CISION AND AWARD
ARBITRATOR: ROBSTEIN	HEARING DATE: 8/24/98
GRIEVANT: Charles Penix	GRIEVANCE #: 27 22 98 04 14 0439 01 05
DEPARTMENT: DREC	UNION: OCIEA
MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE: COME ENLO	UNION ADVOCATE: John Hoven.
	ISSUE
15 day suspensión.	Rule 24 violation
J V	•
	AWARD

Grievance denied in part and sustained in part. The 15 days suspension is reduced to a 10 day suspension. I find that the Grievant violated Rule 24 in this matter, however, given a similar incident cited in the Third Step Response, the level of discipline levied in this situation appears to be out of line with a first occurrence of a Rule 24 violation. One thing in the Grievant's favor was the fact he admitted he was not truthful in his first interview. Although this may not seem significant, it has an impact on the level of disciplinary action necessary to achieve a corrective result in the future. Most employees live pay check to pay check and it is reasonable to assume you can get an employee's attention by taking a paycheck away from him. In this matter, taking a paycheck and one-half away appears punitive for a first offense.

ISSUED A	Т: ,			1
DATE:	8	26	વક	Summit Co. Ohio

ARBITRATOR'S SIGNATURE:

nug. 26 1998 04:41PM P4

BENCH DECIS	ION AND AWARD	
ARBITRATOR: ROB STEIN	HEARING DATE: 8/24/98	
GRIEVANT: BOB SMITH	GRIEVANCE #: 2722 98050104430103	
DEPARTMENT: DR + C	UNION: OCSEA	
MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE: George Engle	UNION ADVOCATE: John Horton.	
	SUE	
15 day suspension,		
A	WARD	
Grievance denied in part and sustained in part. The 15 days suspension is reduced to a  10 day suspension for a Rule 24 violation. I find the Employer proved with a preponderance of the evidence that the Grievant withheld the fact that Officer Holt was in Cell 12 and did not fully disclose all the events that occurred in Cell 12. This is the Grievant's first Rule 24 violation, although it is noted this was a serious situation that could have had more severe consequences. Most employees live pay check to pay check and it is reasonable to assume you can readily get an employee's attention by taking a paycheck away from him. Secondly, Officer Smith's role in this matter was ruled to be a proper use of force. His proper conduct in this situation and the risk involved need to be considered as mitigating factors.		
force. His proper conduct in this situation and	the risk involved need to be considered as	
force. His proper conduct in this situation and	the risk involved need to be considered as	
force. His proper conduct in this situation and	the risk involved need to be considered as	
force. His proper conduct in this situation and	the risk involved need to be considered as	