ARBITRATION SUMMARY AND AWARD LOG OCB AWARD NUMBER: 1261 Expedited

1) 27-26-961113-0731-01-03-S 2) 27-26-960712-0008-01-03-S

OCB GRIEVANT NUMBER:

COST:

GRIEVANT NAME:	 William Mosley James Gebele 	
UNION:	OCSEA	
DEPARTMENT:	Rehab & Corrections	
ARBITRATOR:	Craig Allen	
MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE:	Patrick Mayer	
2ND CHAIR:	Pat Mogan	
UNION ADVOCATE:	Bob Rowland	
ARBITRATION DATE:	March 3, 1998	
DECISION DATE:	March 3, 1998	
DECISION:	1) Denied 2) Modified	
CONTRACT SECTIONS	1) 3 Day Suspension 2) 5 Day Suspension	
HOLDING: 1) The discipline imposed by Management was found to be appropriate and progressive. The Grievant was charged with failure to follow post-orders and search Inmates. The grievance was denied.2) Management did not prove that the grievant was asleep on the job. However, Arbitrator Allen did find that he was inattentive and ordered that the suspend be reduced to three days.		

\$

BENCH DECISION AND AWARD		
ARBITRATOR: Craig a allen	HEARING DATE: March 3, 1998 27-26-980712-0008-01-03	
GRIEVANT: Jones Gobele	GRIEVANCE #: 37-26/96021) 635-01-03	
DEPARTMENT: Carractions	UNION: OCZZA	
MANAGEMENT Africk MAYER	UNION ADVOCATE: Bot I Rowland	
IS	SUE	
was the 5 day a	uspension for	
just souse?		
AW	/ARD	
managnesst ded not	t establish by the propon-	
management did not establish by the propon- derance of the everdence that Dit was asleep.		
Howeva t is evident that Greant was		
inottentive. It is therefore ordered that the		
suspension be reduced to three dop. It is		
ordered that but be given two down book		
Sol al all so	geven we are go as a second	
Pay plus soll coll		
ISSUED AT: Warran < I	ARBITRATOR'S SIGNATURE: College Galler	

BENCH DECISION AND AWARD		
ARBITRATOR: Craig a allen	HEARING DATE: March 3, 1998	
GRIEVANT: William mosloy	GRIEVANCE #: 27-26(961113) 731-01-03	
DEPARTMENT: Corrections	UNION: OCSEA	
MANAGEMENT April MAVEN	UNION ADVOCATE: Bol I. Rowland	
	•	

SSUE

AWARD

dany the guevance. It is dearthat Sistand others mode awarein a meeting that most was Co munoz testified that at least 5 mmotes contrabrand and the photos show a not following orders. The question Extran motor and not others and warran CI DATE: march 3, 1998

searched is beyond my jurisdition. The gustion as to whether others should have been uterviewed by the Investigating affects is not a defense. But was free to call any witness he wanted on his own behalf Subprenos are wanted on his own behalf Subprenos are available to but as well as many. I find the disaplure progressive and four under the arealists.