## ARBITRATION SUMMARY AND AWARD LOG OCB AWARD NUMBER: 1021 Expedited

OCB GRIEVANT NUMBER:	1.) 2.) 3.)	27-20-940406-2104-01-03 27-20-940811-2230-01-03 27-20-940817-2231-01-03
GRIEVANT NAME:	1.) 2.) 3.)	Kerry Powell Randy Bragg Michael Gibson
UNION:		OCSEA/AFSCME
DEPARTMENT:		Rehabilitation and Correction
ARBITRATOR:		Rob Stein
MANAGEMENT ADVOCATE:		Dave Burrus
2ND CHAIR:		Colleen Wise
UNION ADVOCATE:		Bob Goheen
ARBITRATION DATE:		January 9, 1994
DECISION DATE:		January 9, 1994
DECISION:	1.) 2.) 3.)	Denied Denied Denied
CONTRACT SECTIONS AND/OR ISSUES:	1.) 2.) 3.)	3 Day Suspension 1 Day Suspension 1 Day Suspension
HOLDING:	1.)	The Arbitrator felt that the State had just cause for the discipline imposed.
	2.)	The Arbitrator felt that the State had just cau for the discipline imposed.
	3.)	The Arbitrator felt that the State had just cause for the discipline imposed.
ARB COST:		\$

BENCH DECISION AND AWARD

Arbitrator Stein

State of Ohio	Grievance No. 27-20 4-6-9421040103
Department DR & C	Grievant Jerry Powel
Union OCSEA	Date of Hearing 1995
Issue(s): 3 day supenin	<u> </u>
	·
Appearances:	Sans Russia
For the Employer: (Advocate)	DAVE SUM
For the Union: (Advocate)	05 Goheen
AWARD: Grievance de	mied
Issued at Mansfred Reformator	Arbitrator's Signature

Date

Arbitrator STein

State of Ohio	Grievance No. 27-20 94-8-11 22300103
Department DREC	Grievant 13RA66, Randy
Union OCSFA	Date of Hearing 1995
Issuc(s): day suspension.	
Appearances:  For the Employer: (Advocate)	we Burn
For the Union: (Advocate)BC	ob Goheen.
AWARD: Gylevonce de	mied
Issued at Mansfreid Ref.	Arbitrator's Signature

Date

## BENCH DECISION AND AWARD

Arbitrator STein

State of Ohio	Grievance No. 27-20 (8/17/94)2031-01-03
Department DR+C	Grievant Gibson, Michsel
Union OCSEA	Date of Hearing 1/9/95
Issue(s): 1 day suspension	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Appearances:  For the Employer: (Advocate)	Ave Burnes
For the Union: (Advocate)	ob 6 oheen.
AWARD: Grievance denied.	The sole deference offered by the wepolicy was in effect at the time and we. This was not true. It wasn't effective be additional asymment that the grievent
fentone he mys anjustly discible	we. Thir war not true. It wasn't effective
intil 7/1/94. The Union made the	Le reductione agriment that the grievant
otice. This argument may he	are had more weight had it been included
Issued at Warstreld Covv.  19995.  Date	Arbitrator's Signature
Je Mile	+ around applied This wanted have

in the organice grievance and argued earlier. This would have given the union representative a better basis from which to make their proments. The grievant is advised that in the future, caugus consustation with the union prior to filling a grievance is a product course of action.