IN THE MATTER OF ARBITRATION UNDER THE 1986-89 CONTRACT

OPINION AND AWARD:

March 3, 1990

CASE DATA

SUBJECT

Two day suspension for insubordination, leaving work area without permission of supervisor and misuse of state vehicle.

(personal use)

APPEARANCES

For The Union:
Lois Haynes, Staff Representative, OCSEA, presenting the case
Larry Bockbrader, Local Union Steward
Troy Huston, Highway Worker 2, Grievant
Leon Coleman, Highway Worker2, Witness

For The Employer:

Rebecca Ferguson, Representative John Earl, Superintendent, ODOT John Daniel, Highway Worker Supervisor

THE FACTS

Grievant has been employed as a highway worker by the Ohio Department of Employment Services since June 1, 1971. On January 26, 1989, at approximately 11:25 a.m., grievant was observed by the District Deputy Director leaving a shopping center at the time grievant was driving a state truck. The Employer contends that grievant failed to radio out-of-service when he "drove to the shopping center." At the hearing, grievant testified that at the time in question he was looking for a restroom and he admitted that

he failed to call in and inform the Employer that he was going outof-service.

On February 6, 1989, while driving a state truck, grievant was observed by John Daniel, grievant's supervisor, on a side street which was off the grievant's assigned route. At the hearing, grievant testified that he left his assigned route to avoid an accident that had occurred on the route. Mr. Daniel testified that he saw no accident at that location. There was no record of the grievant's reporting the accident to the dispatcher or reporting that he was altering his assigned route.

The third incident giving rise to this disciplinary action occurred on February 23, 1989. The Employer contends that the grievant failed to properly perform his assigned duties on the afternoon of that day grievant and two others were assigned to pick up litter from the median of I-475 northbound of Airport Highway. Grievant's truck was observed by John Daniel and John Earl proceeding north on I-475 passing by pieces of litter on the median. Grievant's truck was flagged down and grievant was told to return to the site and pickup the litter. Late that afternoon, Daniel's returned to the site and observed that there was still a good amount of litter on the median. At the hearing grievant testified that the litter was frozen to the ground and could not be removed. The record also reflects that it was 14°F that day.

ISSUE

Did the Employer suspend Mr. Troy Huston, for a period of two (2) days, for just cause? If not, what shall the remedy be?

EVALUATION

Grievant admits that he failed to call in on January 26, 1989, when he went out-of-service to find a restroom. He also admits making a detour from his assigned route on February 6, 1989, but states that he did this to avoid an accident. With regards to the February 23, 1989 incident, grievant said he passed the litter on the highway because he was on the way to locate a bathroom and the litter was frozen and could not be picked up.

After reviewing the evidence admitted at the grievance hearing which includes the grievant's prior verbal warning of 6-14-86 (failure to follow written policies by sitting under an overhead on the freeway) and a written reprimand of 12-12-88 (failure to pickup litter on I-475 and US 23) the arbitrator finds that the two day suspension is commensurate with the grievant's discipline. There is no question that the grievant was in violation of the rules in not calling in his going out-of-service on January 26, 1989, and if this continues, he will be subject to more discipline. There also is no question that the grievant did detour from his assigned route on February 6, 1989, which also constitutes a violation of the Employer's rules. The grievant was unable to produce any evidence (e.g. Police Accident Report) to rebut the supervisor's statements that they saw no accident at the location in question. With regard to the February 23, 1989, incident the arbitrator finds that while the grievant was unable to pickup the frozen litter, he should have called this in to the dispatcher and asked for a new assignment or

assistance. Under the circumstances presented there is no basis for finding that a two day suspension is unreasonable or excessive.

AWARD

There was just cause for the two day suspension. The grievance is denied.

James M. Klein

Arbitrator

ARBITRATION BENCH DECISION AND AWARD

Arbitrator: __James M. Klein

| State of | Ohi | 0 | | Grievance No. 11-08-(38-05-09)-0009-01-09 | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|-------------|---|------------|---------|-------------|-----|-----|
| Department O.B.E.S. Grievant Helen Gonyer | | | | | | | | | |
| Union _ (| OCSE | Local 11 | | Date of B | learing 8 | -28-90 | | | • |
| Issue(s) | : W | ether the | grievant wa | as suspende | d for thr | ee days | with | out | |
| just cau | ıse, | and if so | what should | d be the re | medy? | | | | |
| | | ` . | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | · | | | | • | |
| Appearan | ces: | | • | | | | · | | |
| For | the | Employer: | (Advocate) | Egdillo J. | Morales, | Janice | L. Vi | au | |
| For | the | Union: | (Advocate) | Lois Hayne | s | | | | |
| | •••• | | (Advocace) | | | | | | |
| AWARD: | Se | e attached | • | | | | | | • |
| | | | | | | | | | - |
| | • | | • • • | | • | - | | | |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> | • | •- | •• | | | | | |
| | • | • | | | • . | | | | · . |
| | | | | | • | | | | • |
| | • | | | • | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| · | | | | • | | | | | |
| • | <u> </u> | | • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | • |
| | - | | | | , | | | | |
| Issued a | t 70 | र्धाः जी | | Dine | n Re | | | | |
| | <u></u> - | ij jarren | | | tor's Sign | nature | | | |

Litry Com

The grievant, the secretary to the Office Manager of the Bowling Green OBES office, received a three day suspension for insubordination toward the Manager by refusing to follow a direct order, raising her voice and swearing at the Manager, and reporting to the police a threat of bodily harm from the Manager which never existed. After reviewing the evidence, the arbitrator finds that the grievance is denied and that the three day suspension stands. The record supports a finding that the grievant's conduct toward the Manager was inappropriate and constituted a violation of the Employer's rules.